STATE OF LOUISIANA
GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT COMMISSION
IN RE: GROUND WATER *
MANAGEMENT COMMISSION *
MEETING *
REPORT OF MEETING
HELD AT
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
NOVEMBER 28, 2001

1	STATE OF LOUISIANA				
2	GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT COMMISSION				
3					
4	IN RE: GROUND WATER *				
5	MANAGEMENT COMMISSION *				
6	MEETING *				
7					
8					
9	Report of the meeting of the Ground Water				
10	Management Commission, State of Louisiana, on November				
11	28, 2001, in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.				
12					
13	COMMISSION MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:				
14	Karen Gautreaux, Chairman				
15	Phil Boudreaux, Assistant Commissioner of Conservation				
16	Zahir "Bo" Bolourchi, Secretary, DOTD				
17	George Cardwell, Capital Area Ground Water Commission				
18	William "Bill" Cefalu, Police Jury Association				
19	Richard Durrett, Sparta Groundwater Conservation				
20	District				
21	Peggy Gantt, Louisiana Municipal Association				
22	Dale Givens, Secretary, DEQ				
23	Karen Irion, DHH				
24	Fulbert Leon Namwamba, Geologist				
25	Brad Spicer, Agriculture & Forestry				
26	Bennie Fontenot, Wildlife & Fisheries				
27	Linda Zaunbrecher, Farm Bureau Member				
28					
29					

1		AGENDA
2		
3	I.	Call to Order - Karen Gautreaux
4	II.	Presentation by C.H. Fenstermaker & Associates
5	III.	Comments from the Commission on presentation
6	IV.	Consideration of the Proposed Staff and
7		Advisory Task Force Recommendations on the copy
8		of the Revised Emergency Rule Recommendations
9	V.	Consideration of the Advisory Task Force
10		Recommendations
11	VI.	Ground Water Staff Report
12	VII.	Ground Water Management Advisory Task Force
13		Committee Reports
14	VIII.	Ground Water Management Advisory Task Force
15		Comments
16	IX.	New Business
17	х.	Public Comments
18	XI.	Schedule for Next Meeting
19	XII.	Adjourn
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		

1	GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT COMMISSION MEETING		
2	NOVEMBER 28, 2001		
3	* * * *		
4	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:		
5	Welcome to the Louisiana Ground Water Management		
6	Commission Meeting. I'll ask everyone to introduce		
7	themselves for the record starting with Brad.		
8	COMMISSIONER SPICER:		
9	Brad Spicer, Louisiana Department of Agriculture		
10	and Forestry.		
11	COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA:		
12	Fulbert Namwamba, geologist, engineer.		
13	COMMISSIONER BOLOURCHI:		
14	I'm Bo Bolourchi, Department of Transportation,		
15	Water Resources Group.		
16	COMMISSIONER BOUDREAUX:		
17	Phil Boudreaux, Department of Natural Resources.		
18	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:		
19	Karen Gautreaux, Governor Foster's office.		
20	MR. FONTENOT:		
21	Bennie Fontenot, Wildlife and Fisheries. I'm		
22	substituting for John Roussel today.		
23	COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:		
24	Linda Zaunbrecher, Louisiana Farm Bureau.		
25	COMMISSIONER CARDWELL:		
26	George Cardwell, Capital Area Ground Water		
27	Commission.		
28	COMMISSIONER DURRETT:		
29	Richard Durrett, Sparta Ground Water Commission.		
30	MS. IRION:		

Page 5 of 35

Karen Irion, Department of Health and Hospitals, substituting for Dr. Guidry.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Thank you. Our first item on the agenda, as many of you were present at the last Ground Water Management Commission in which the selection committee saw presentations by the top two presenters. Well, today we have the one that, if everything goes well, will get the award. They've actually received a verbal go-ahead to proceed with work on the contract. The group that did get the award is C.H. Fenstermaker & Associates, and we've asked them to make a presentation. Also, they were here this morning and became exposed to some of the issues by their conversation -- well, by listening to conversation of the Ground Water Management Advisory Task Force, and also a subsequent meeting with representatives of the Technical Committee. So we were glad they were able to do that. And would you like to come forward and introduce yourselves and make your presentation? Brad, because we record these meetings, would you please use a mike? Thank you.

# MR. HAMILTON:

I'm Brad Hamilton, Executive Vice President for C.H. Fenstermaker and Associates. We've been chosen to do this project. I'm very happy to be chosen and look forward to the project. It's an exciting project. We are all living in Louisiana, residing in Louisiana right now and are stakeholders. So we have a long-term interest in what happens here in this

whole process.

2.0

2.2

At this point I'm really going to turn it over to Raymond, because as far as having accomplished a lot to this date, we haven't done that much. We got the notice to proceed, the verbal notice to proceed about a week and a half ago and had our kickoff meeting. So I think what Raymond is going to run through with you is just kind of a historical of what's taken place so far, and we'll just go from there. Raymond, very briefly.

# MR. REAUX:

Again, my name is Raymond Reaux. I'm going to be the project manager for the study. We did develop a time line, at least a history of what we've done at least to this point to bring everybody up to speed. As most of you were here and aware of, we made a presentation on the 16th October, of which we found out about ten days later, October 26th, that we were selected as the project team, and basically a 14-day protest period began so that we really would have to go through that. We had a couple of meetings, the first with at least what I call the Commission Technical Committee. I asked Karen and a couple of people what it was, the name of the group, and we're still struggling with what it is.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

It's just general staff meeting.

# Mr. REAUX:

General staff meeting, okay. We've had two meetings with them, one on November 6, mainly to

introduce the parties, ourselves, the team, to discuss a couple of the contract issues, project schedule, and we've also begun talking about the website and some of the site names that we think are important and should be, I guess, reserved immediately.

We had a meeting a little bit later on the 9th of November. That was primarily a scope of services meeting, and really some clarifications as we put the proposal together. Some of you may have seen it. We certainly sat in our office and put it together, but there were some clarifications and some things that needed to be addressed. So we went through that with a couple of other items. That was done on the 9th of November, which was also the end of the protest period.

Bruce attended the Outreach Committee meeting on the 14th, and we then received a verbal notice to proceed on the 16th of November. I apologize if this is a little dry. We're just going through it a little bit. So we got the notice to proceed on the 16th.

About the 20th we kicked it off in our office, trying to get everybody started, geared up. We know this is a short time frame. We're trying to move forward, and short of the holidays here we are about a week later for this meeting. So that's kind of the time line of activities, and we've been kind of kicked off officially I guess about ten days.

# MR. HAMILTON:

2.0

2.2

I apologize to my two compatriots. I should have introduced these guys here. I'd like to introduce

them. Bruce Darling, who is with LBG-Guyton of Austin. Bruce is a resident in Lafayette for the whole duration of the project. He already has a Lafayette cell phone, has a Lafayette e-mail address, and hopes to have his business cards printed up to where he can get his mail here again. And standing next to him is Brent Sonnier with The Onebane Firm, a law firm in Lafayette. He will be handling a lot of the legal issues that we are sure are going to surface here. Bruce will be handling primarily ground water issues and some -- a lot of the planning issues, and a little bit of surface water. So that's our team. We are ready to go, and we are looking forward to it.

2.0

2.2

Do you have any questions? One of the biggest things that we have to do initially here is to collect data, and collect data not from just other agencies, but comments from the public, comments from the stakeholders. Any kind of information that anybody here has that feels -- and they feel that it's important that we know, we would actually encourage you to contact us one way or another. We will have a website out there probably up and running within, I'm sure within a month, and we will publish the URL address. We'll also try to acquire the attendance list here, and maybe get at least a mailout with that address to everybody that is sitting here today, and this morning too. With that I think we are through. COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Good. Are there any comments or questions from the members of the Commission?

(No response.)

2

Thank you. I'm sorry, Dr. Namwamba? COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA:

4

5

6

3

One thing that to me was not addressed, I mean, I didn't have a chance to ask this question, I'd like to know your strategy or game plan on addressing groundwater quality issues.

7 8

#### MR. DARLING:

9

10

11

12

That's not an easy question to answer because we haven't seen all of the data yet. We had a long discussion here at the Technical Committee meeting about what we need to do to start off. The first thing, of course, to do is gather all the information from all the agencies that have information related to quality of ground water, that's both with respect to the major area of concentrations, and also radiochemical components of ground water, organic

13 14

15

16

17

constituency of ground water.

road for your planning purposes.

19

2.0

21

2.2

18

data, to look at what's there, to look at what we might need to address, some shortfalls of that, and then to combine that into some type of strategy for advising the Commission of what -- how complete the

So the first thing to do is to compile all the

24

25

23

data are, and then what we need to do to collect the data that we need to address specific issues down the

26

27

28

Just to start off with, we are -- we don't have a definite plan because we just need to look at the data first. So the data evaluation phase is the very first thing that we need to do, and that's a rather

29

1 substantial effort in itself. That includes both 2 ground water and surface water. 3 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 4 Do you have any -- is that --5 COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA: 6 No. I was just mentioning that because that's 7 one thing I'm looking out for. 8 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 9 Any other comments or questions? 10 (No response.) 11 Thank you. I'm sorry. 12 MR. SADE: 13 My name is Morris Sade. I'm from Miraj 14 Envirotek. Do you have any specific model for the 15 arrangement of groundwater management, or are you 16 going to develop such a model, or any need to 17 developing such a model for the Louisiana --18 MR. DARLING: 19 You mean a numerical model? 2.0 MR. SADE: 21 Yes. 2.2 MR. DARLING: 23 We're going to work with the existing models. 24 don't have the time or the budget to develop new 25 models. So what we need to do is look at the existing 26 models to determine what they tell us. We need to 27 look at the existing models to discern what they can 28 tell us so that we can then advise the Commission of 29

what might need to be done in terms of addressing

specific water availability issues in Louisiana, or

1 where you might want to develop new models. I've had 2 a lot of discussion with Brad Hanson over lunch about 3 work that the Louisiana Geological Survey is doing to 4 developing numerical models in southwest Louisiana, 5 and, of course, we hope to gain a great deal from 6 working with LGS on that. But right now we cannot 7 develop new models. Given the time frame, as I said, 8 we have to work with the data that are there and hope 9 that will tell us what we need to do, tell us what we 10 need to know, and make the recommendations that we 11 need to make in order to address those availability 12 issues or supply issues that I think you're trying to 13 get at.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Brad, will you and Bruce and Brent and Raymond, you're planning to attend the whole meeting? Because typically we have an opportunity for public comment and questions.

#### MR. HAMILTON:

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

We'll be here afterwards too. We'll stay as long as someone wants us to.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Good. Thank you. So we'll move on to the next agenda item if there aren't any questions or comments from the Commission.

(No response.)

Thank you. All right, our next topic, consideration of the proposed staff and advisory task force recommendations on the revised emergency rule. This morning this discussion was interwoven with

Page 12 of 35

committee reports, but we'll just handle it altogether at this point. Tony, if you can review, and I invite the reporters for the committees to go ahead and you're welcome to state what your recommendations were, and Tony's discussion, I guess, will reflect what moved up through the process.

# MR. DUPLECHIN:

2.2

Thank you, Karen. If you'll look at your packets, you have two copies of the draft revised emergency rules. The one that we worked on this morning, came up with this morning has the date 11/28/01 at the top of it, and it has the revisions, so I will be addressing those. I will assume that everyone had a chance to read the revisions that -- the revised rule as of the last Commission meeting. So I'll skip the changes that were made between the original one and what we came up with this morning.

If you look on the second page, right-hand column, letter B, "applicant shall also submit recommendations," down on 2.a, we changed the wording to read "restrictions on the amount of withdrawals by any and/or all users" in accordance with RS 38:3099 3.D. Further down under Commission review 3309.B was moved from under 3305, I believe it was, "using all available data presented to the Commission, an analysis will be made by the Commission to determine if the area under consideration meets the criteria to be designated a critical ground water area or could become a critical ground water area." Originally we struck that language, but it was decided this morning

Page 13 of 35

to leave it in and move it down under Commission Review.

The last change we made this morning is under 3505 on the last page, and it reflects the previous change that was made under A.2.a. "restrictions on the amount of withdrawals by any and/or all users," in accordance with RS 38:3099 3.D.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

2.0

2.2

And this -- after the discussion at the meeting, there was a general recognition, and also I'd like to say that John Roussel, who represents Wildlife and Fisheries and Bennie is representing today, had forwarded a comment just recommending that we reference the section of the Act that discusses this issue and cross-reference it. So that's where -- and everyone who was active in that discussion of the task force agreed that that was an appropriate thing to do, that direct linkage.

So are there any questions or comments among the

-- I would also like to forward a second item. I had
to get my copy of John's remarks also, that he
recommended in Section 305 -- 3305.A.5 that after we
-- the second recommendation that John had mentioned
was just some clarification language in 3305.A.5.

After the word "application," he recommended that we
insert "and recommendations regarding the proposed
critical ground water area," for clarification. So I
would like the Commission -- any questions or comments
regarding the proposed changes to the draft?

(No response.)

Page 14 of 35

1 If there are no comments, do we have a motion to 2 approve? 3 COMMISSIONER SPICER: 4 I move. 5 COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER: 6 Second. 7 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 8 Brad made the motion. Linda seconded. Any 9 discussion? 10 (No response.) 11 All in favor? (Aye.) 12 Opposed? (No response.) 13 Thank you. 14 MR. DUPLECHIN: 15 Our next step with this will be to present it to 16 the Office of the State Register. The current 17 emergency rule expires on the 18th of December, and 18 this will give us another 120 days from then. We do 19 plan on going ahead with permanent rules for the 2.0 conduct of hearings at our next available opportunity. 21 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 2.2 If there's no further comment on that item we'll 23 move on. The second topic -- Tony, I assume you're 24 going to stay up for this one too -- what we reviewed 25 at our meeting this morning was a policy that we had 26 utilized on the Advisory -- the Water Policy Advisory 27 Task Force which worked well in that we brought the 28 recommendations of each committee up for Task Force

discussion, unless there was a higher level committee

that those types of recommendations ran for, but

29

Page 15 of 35

1 ultimately whatever came out of the committees was 2 discussed and endorsed or not by the task force as a 3 recommendation. So we have decided to reinstitute 4 that policy for our advisory task force. So from this 5 point on the Commission will be receiving 6 recommendations from the task force. We'll still have 7 an opportunity through committee reports if there's 8 some other items that didn't make it through the 9 process that the Commission might want to just know is 10 an issue out there, but as far as recommendations they 11 will be developed through a consensus process with the 12 whole task force.

And welcome, Dale and Mr. Cefalu. Let us note that they've both joined us for this meeting, please.

COMMISSIONER GIVENS:

Karen, just thought we'd let you know that both Bill and I have verified that the DNR Conservation hearing room is still there.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

But for how much longer, Dale, that's what we need to know. Thank you.

#### COMMISSIONER CEFALU:

And empty.

# COMMISSIONER GIVENS:

And empty.

### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

I'm glad y'all were able to confirm that for us.

All right. So what we're going to be moving on to right now, we just, Dale and Bill, approved the draft emergency rules with revisions recommended by the

advisory task force and by one of our Commission members.

The next item will be the other recommendations by the task force that were not part of the emergency rules. Tony?

# MR. DUPLECHIN:

2.0

2.2

The first one relates to the list of wells that are exempt from the 60-day prior notice requirements in Act 446. The Agricultural Committee, and this was brought up during our last meeting, had wanted drought condition wells added as another type of well that would be exempt from the 60-day prior registration requirements. So I have included in your packet a copy -- an updated copy of the memo that was sent out on September 27th, and now says December 3rd. It's just a draft. But it shows that drought condition wells could be added if approved by the Commission as a sixth type of well that have a presumption of just cause for waiving the 60-day prior registration.

Drought wells or drought condition wells are defined as a well installed to alleviate crop or livestock stress during periods of modest or extreme drought as indicated by the Palmer Drought Index.

I've also included a printout explaining what the Palmer Drought Index is. There are a number of different drought indices used, and the one that was chosen by the Ag Committee was the Palmer Drought Index.

One other small change. If you look up to No. 5, relief wells, I went ahead and changed the name of it

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

to pressure relief wells. After listening to members of the Ag Committee talk this morning, relief has different meanings to different people. So I thought that pressure relief wells would be a better name for the relief wells.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Does that name change pose any difficulties for anyone? (No response.) Okay, good. One of the -there was a good bit of discussion on this particular item, and there were a lot of factors brought up, such as when farmers are in a drought condition it may be very difficult if they have to depend on the turnaround time to arrange a well being dug. Also the fact that the Staff is keeping track over the next year and a half, year, as to what type of waivers are granted or who is using -- because remember, all of these are just 60-day advance registration waivers. The well still has to be registered. If there's a change of use, that's still going to have to be noted. So with that, the task force felt fairly comfortable in recommending that this policy be adopted, and I'll invite our Farm Bureau representative to add anything. COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

So moved.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Okay. We have a motion. Do we have a second? COMMISSIONER SPICER:

I second.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

30 Second. Any discussion?

2.0

2.2

(No response.)

All in favor? (Aye.) Any opposed? (No response.) Thank you. Were there any more advisory committee recommendations? Oh, the prorata. The

what?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

The prorata.

# COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

No, the industrial comments that were made.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Yes. That's the other recommendation. You can go forward. Okay, I didn't recognize it by that acronym. Yes, that was a recommendation that we agreed to.

# MR. DUPLECHIN:

We have a recommendation by the Industrial

Committee that the Ground Water Management Commission
take the position of opposition on the development and
implementation of local ordinance for management of
ground water throughout the State of Louisiana. So
far only one parish has instituted their own ordinance
for managing ground water. And the Industrial

Committee of the task force felt that this may be in
violation of the statutes -- that is left to be seen
-- but felt that some discussion needed to be
undertaken by the Commission.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Right. And that discussion, and I'll invite everyone there this morning to chime in, evolved into a request for a legal analysis in terms of the roles

and abilities, authorities of the Commission relative to local ordinances. Is there anyone that would like to comment on that particular item? And we'll ask for the Commission members first.

# COMMISSIONER SPICER:

2.0

2.2

I think we ought to move ahead with it.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

There's an agreement we're going to do a legal analysis and come back and present it to the task force.

#### COMMISSIONER CEFALU:

My only concern is this -- these regulations or laws, once we finish coming up with the decision on how to manage the water systems, is it going to take precedence such as the hazardous waste authority of the state, or are local people going to be able to come behind us and do additional laws?

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

As I envision it, there is an opportunity -- the local governments will have an opportunity to do things that are consistent with the state law. I think if there's a local government that sets up a regime that's not consistent with the state law then that's where we'll probably -- and actually, it's probably going to be the local government's problem in addition to creating confusion in different areas of the state. I don't know if I'm answering your question.

# COMMISSIONER CEFALU:

Well, my concern is if we're going to sit here

Page 20 of 35

1 2

3

and decide on how we should manage the resource, and then allow another -- any other agency to come in and change the rules, we may be defeating our purpose by allowing additional authority.

4 5

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2.0

21 2.2

24

25

23

26

27

28 29

30

Right. We want to make sure that we're not in a position where we have conflicting authorities from the state law. You're right. And we have to also keep in mind existing state and other federal regulations in moving forward.

# COMMISSIONER CEFALU:

So are we going to allow local governments to have their own laws and control the water sources? COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

Isn't that what the discussion is, that we'll have legal --

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Right.

#### COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

There will be an analysis done to see what --COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Right. We're trying to make it clear where the lines of authority as far as legal authority are for local governments versus the state. For example, one of the items listed was, well, we have no real charter, but we feel pretty confident in that the state has a preemption on this issue, so what -- we're going to clarify that. And as much as we can work with locals we'd like to encourage them to be able to manage their water in a way that they feel is

1 appropriate, but at the same time we want to make sure 2 it's compatible with the existing state system so we 3 don't have a bunch of different local systems and then 4 over a state system. Mr. Durrett? 5 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 6 For instance, in the Sparta, if we have our study 7 done and we have some recommendations to do something, 8 we can't do anything -- we're going to have to bring 9 it to this Commission; right? 10 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 11 I think if you're operating within this Act that, 12 yeah, the Sparta should go ahead and put -- as I think 13 you're planning to do right now, go through the state 14 process, apply for the critical groundwater 15 designation and so forth. And I think you could, if 16 you wanted to, go forward and set up some kind of 17 regulatory regime, but I think you would subject to --18 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 19 I don't think we can. 2.0 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 2.1 I don't think -- I don't think you can either. 2.2 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 23 Ours wasn't set up where you could, plus we don't 24 have a home rule charter. 25 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 26 Right. I don't think --27 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 28 I think that's the only question, whether there's

29

30

a home rule charter.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Page 22 of 35

1 2

Well, even if there is a home rule charter, a lot of attorneys -- as we tried to indicate --

# COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

 I understand. I'm not arguing that. But I'm saying there's a lot of them that I don't think there's any question.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

No, I don't think there is. We'll clarify that for those that don't believe it. Fulbert?

COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA:

2.0

Yeah. I would like -- I would like when they do the legal analysis, let's have a sort of time table or a time line. I think what they've done, the legal analysis, they give us the feedback in good time, we can have enough time to look over it, look over the fine print. Because here you're talking about ordinances versus state constitutional law plus the general laws, whether a new policy can come in and override local ordinances. So I think we need a thorough and we need a kind of a time line of some kind to make that decision.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

We'll endeavor to have a review available before the next meeting, but I think also we're going to have to incorporate this into -- I think it's both a short-term answer in case different local entities want to consider an ordinance, and it's important for them to know early on that the general framework, and also for us to incorporate local needs as much as possible into

our statewide ordinance. Mr. McHugh.

# MR. MCHUGH:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

My name is Tom Ed McHugh. I'm Executive Director of the Louisiana Municipal Association. And we certainly understand the complexity of the issue that you're dealing with and the need to have a comprehensive policy on a statewide basis. We would like the opportunity to work with you to make certain that the constitutional questions, and we already have that authority through the Constitution, that the constitutional questions are met in such a way that it doesn't restrict us in some form or fashion that we might not understand as we look at that issue today, and we certainly pledge to work with you in that manner. And I don't represent the Police Jury Association, but Roland and I are very close friends, and I think I'm expressing something that would be something that they would be more than happy to work with also.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Yes, and I'd like to reiterate, for any of those that are doubtful, we certainly want to work cooperatively. It doesn't do anyone any good for the water resources of Louisiana to --

# MR. MCHUGH:

We pledge our resources and personnel to help you with that issue.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Thank you. It's much appreciated. We'll count on it. Any other comments or questions on that issue? (No response.)

1 Tony?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

# MR. DUPLECHIN:

The other two recommendations made by the Industrial Committee we have already addressed, that was the changes that were made to the draft emergency rule that we talked about earlier. The staff, Commission staff worked with the Outreach Committee to develop a news article for publication explaining what work the Commission had done up to this point, and I don't know if --

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

I guess we'll do that. There weren't any recommendations. That can be included in the committee report. Thanks. I think that concludes -- MR. DUPLECHIN:

That's pretty much it for committee recommendations.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Okay. Fine. So you can just continue to the Ground Water staff report.

#### COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA:

If I jog my memory a bit, did the Outreach

Committee talk of needing funds in the future to do

some of their work, or did I miss that?

# MR. DUPLECHIN:

They'll probably go over that in their committee report.

# 28 MR. HAMILTON:

Excuse me. I think they tentatively set January,

January 14 -- excuse me, January 9th as a meeting, but

Page 25 of 35

they were going to confirm that by e-mail to everybody on the committee. They wanted to meet before you meet again.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Thank you.

# MR. DUPLECHIN:

2.0

2.2

Staff report. The Ground Water Management staff developed a news article for the Outreach Committee, as I spoke of a few minutes ago, and I believe a copy of that article is in each of your packets. The article was transmitted back to the Outreach Committee so they could make any changes or amendments they deemed necessary, and will go forward from here with sending it out to the different newspapers around the state and periodicals that may be interested in the issues at hand.

So far the state has received -- the staff has received 155 water well information sheets, 39 of which were received so far this month of November. We're currently looking into the possibility of creating a water well database on the Department of Natural Resources Strategic Online Natural Resources Information System, or SONRIS. We're also investigating the feasibility of using this system to have an online registration form.

We've made some updates to the website that we currently have for the Commission. All the transcripts are posted as soon as we've proofread them, along with a summary of the meeting. Agendas for upcoming meetings are posted, as well as the

\_\_\_

meeting date and location, and a copy of the draft emergency rules on the website. Since our last meeting we have issued two just cause decisions to New Orleans for 16 dewatering wells to be installed by the Sewerage and Water Board. In addition, we have received several calls by landowners or drillers receiving just cause waivers for types of wells that were already exempt from the notification.

The bulk of our work for the past month has been in working with C.H. Fenstermaker in getting the scope of services and deliverables ironed out to where we can get the contract signed as soon as possible.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Thank you. Are there any questions from Commission members on the staff report?

(No response.)

Thank you, Tony. As mentioned, I guess the next time we should put the reports before the recommendations so we get the full scope. So we'll take care of that. But for this meeting we have now the Advisory Task Force Committee reports. Now, the primary report of the Agriculture Committee actually resulted in the motion today, but would the Agricultural Committee like to report anything else?

I don't think so.

#### COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

The next committee that reported this morning was the Industrial Committee, and we've heard some of those results too. Would a member of the Industrial

Page 27 of 35

Committee like to report?

MR. LYONS:

3 My na

2.0

2.2

My name is Mike Lyons and I chair the Industrial Committee. Everything that we recommended has been acted upon by the Commission with the exception of the fact that we are developing a questionnaire that will be sent to members of the industrial community through trade associations on groundwater and surface water use and projected needs for the future. So we will have some data, hopefully right after the first of the year, with respect to industrial usage of both ground water and surface water, and projected needs that you will be able to utilize.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Great. That will be very helpful. Thank you.

Any questions or comments of the Industrial Committee?

(No response.)

The next group that reported at the meeting was the Outreach Committee, and as Tony mentioned, there is an article being prepared for dissemination to the regular media outlets, but I really do encourage -- we're also going to send it to members of the advisory task force and the Commission and encourage you to pass it along to publications that you know of, and please give us names so we can have that database for future use as well. I think it's very important for people to have as complete an understanding as possible of the whole process, and hopefully we'll get their input throughout the process. But we'll need that support in the end for a well-developed policy.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2.0 21

2.2

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

The Outreach Committee also mentioned -- did someone agree -- I don't want to take over Linda's I know she couldn't be here this afternoon. Did someone agree to give that report?

(No response.)

There was also a discussion about, as Fulbert mentioned, some budgetary needs of the committee. They're going to be working, as I understand it, on a better refined number, and we certainly from a state perspective are going to try and support those activities, but we also will ask for all of our partners to support that effort. So if you have opportunities to print or pass along information, whatever your participation might be, we appreciate it.

Is there any other item -- Fulbert, you sat in on that conversation -- that you think the Outreach Committee would like to report on? COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA:

I think after they meet --COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

We'll look forward. As Brad mentioned, there may be an interim meeting on the 9th. They'll notify everyone by e-mail. We'll try to pass along those notifications, too. Are there any other questions or comments on Outreach?

(No response.)

The Technical Committee I know had a -- the Technical and Surface Water Committee met together and had a fairly full discussion. I think it was helpful

to the C.H. Fenstermaker group. Brad, are you -Charlie? Sorry, Charlie Demas.

MR. DEMAS:

My name is Charlie Demas. I'm the chair for the Technical Subcommittee. We held a meeting of our group to set a date to meet with the consultant to transfer over all the information that's available that they will need to design this management plan. That includes both surface and ground water. There's a lot of information available, and everybody is to bring to the meeting the websites with what those databases are located at and whatever pertinent publications that will help them in designing or answering several of the questions in the RFP. So we're going to be meeting on the 17th of December at one o'clock at the USGS here in Baton Rouge.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

2.8

Thank you. Any comments or questions? (No response.)

Thank you, Charlie. I think that concluded the presentations and committee reports for the day, unless someone else met that I don't know about. Now we will just ask for -- as we mentioned before we leave a slot on the Commission agenda for just general task force questions or comments. Are there any members of the task force that would like to comment?

(No response.)

Now we have new business. Do we have any new business items?

(No response.)

2.0

2.2

All right. The next meeting -- no, we have public comments before next meeting. Any public comments or questions?

4 (No response.)

#### COMMISSIONER BOLOURCHI:

Tony, on the memorandum that you prepared to go to the water well drillers and owners, on page 2 of the memorandum, Tony, there's a reference in the middle of the page, the revised water well information sheet is attached. That attachment is not attached to my packet. Is that --

# MR. DUPLECHIN:

Okay. That's not -- I just printed out the memo itself. The water well sheet is the one that we've been using for the past two months, if you've seen it. If you want a copy of it, I have a copy of it right here. But no, this was just to show the language of drought condition wells.

#### COMMISSIONER BOLOURCHI:

Thank you.

#### COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

As you know, the Sparta has had a study commission about a year ago, and the first important phase of that study is going to be presented on December the 14th at two o'clock in Ruston, which will include the presentation of the updated model that the engineers have done. So anybody that would like to come, you're welcome to come. It's going to be Friday, December 14th at two o'clock at the Courthouse Annex. If you want to know how to get there or you

need anymore information, you can contact me after the meeting. We'll be more than happy to share that information with the consultants, any information that we have.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

2.0

2.2

If you can get me the meeting information, if you can just e-mail it to me with a map, we'll be glad to distribute it to our mailing list and the members of the task force and Commission. Thank you. Mr. Cefalu.

#### COMMISSIONER CEFALU:

Yes. I'm concerned, again, LMA -- does LMA have anyone on the technical committees representing LMA? Are y'all getting the representation that y'all need to have?

# COMMISSIONER GANTT:

I'm representing LMA on the Commission.

# COMMISSIONER CEFALU:

The other thing was, the Advisory Task Force
Industrial Committee mentioned the paperwork being
sent to the industries to request information that
we're going to need to do studies on. Are all the
other committees doing the same? I mean, there's a
lot of public entities out there that have -- that are
using surface waters for water treatment, and I would
hope that we would send not only to the industries,
but also to all of those public entities also that are
using surface as well as ground water.

# COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

I know we have been dependent to a certain -- to

1	a large degree for the information being collected			
2	through USGS for public bodies. Charlie, would you			
3	like to comment?			
4	COMMISSIONER CEFALU:			
5	Is that being done?			
6	MR. DEMAS:			
7	That's done on a quarterly basis to both industry			
8	and all water users including ag and public supply.			
9	COMMISSIONER CEFALU:			
10	So we're making sure we get that information.			
11	Thank you very much.			
12	MR. DEMAS:			
13	That's been done that way for, what, 30 years			
14	now.			
15	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:			
16	We had talked are there any other comments or			
17	questions?			
18	COMMISSIONER CEFALU:			
19	No, I'm finished. Thank you.			
20	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:			
21	The next agenda item was the schedule for the			
22	next meeting, and I think there are there anymore			
23	public comments? I'm sorry.			
24	(No response.)			
25	The schedule for the next meeting, we had			
26	tentatively discussed this morning the week of January			
27	14th, but it turns out that the Department of Natural			
28	Resources will be moving into their new building, so			
29	that's probably not a good time. In terms of			

decisions, are there any time-critical dates that

1 anyone is aware of? I'm not aware of any, but we need 2 to obviously have a meeting in not too long of a time 3 frame so we can further develop a plan, but we don't 4 have any emergency rule deadlines or things like that 5 to trigger another meeting. So what I'd like to 6 suggest is that we meet the week of -- well, we may 7 have to actually meet that week unless we want to put 8 it off until the next week, because Monday, the 21st, 9 is a holiday, and there's a Wetlands Authority meeting 10 on the 23rd. Is there a -- could we -- I know y'all 11 are moving, but on the 16th, I know that's a bad week, 12 but if we do that we'll be into the last week of 13 January if we don't. 14 MR. DUPLECHIN: 15 We might be back here. 16 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 17 That will work. 18 COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA: 19 What day of the week? 2.0 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 2.1 The 16th is a Wednesday. 2.2 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 23 I can't, but that doesn't mean you can't meet. 24 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 25 Can the majority of the Commission meet then? 26 We'll be coming up to see you before then. 27 tentatively set our next meeting date on the 16th. 28 Well, the 14th. I was thinking the Staff was going to

be involved in moving activities more than they are.

30 MR. DUPLECHIN:

1	The building is supposed to be closed the 11th			
2	through the 14th with just a skeleton crew. We won't			
3	be moved yet.			
4	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:			
5	We'll just find another location on the 14th.			
6	Let's keep it on the 14th of January. Time, we'll			
7	it seems to be working pretty well, the 9:30 in the			
8	morning for task force and 1:30 Commission meeting.			
9	Do I have a motion to adjourn?			
10	COMMISSIONER CEFALU:			
11	Where?			
12	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:			
13	We'll have to work on the location.			
14	COMMISSIONER SPICER:			
15	I move we adjourn.			
16	COMMISSIONER NAMWAMBA:			
17	Second.			
18	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:			
19	Move to adjourn. Thank you.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				

# CERTIFICATE

I, SUZETTE M. MAGEE, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was held on November 28, 2001, in the Wildlife and Fisheries Louisiana Room, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; that I did report the proceedings thereof; that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 through 35, inclusive, constitute a true and correct transcript of the proceedings thereof.

SUZETTE M. MAGEE, CCR #93079

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER